arXiv:1610.08455v1 [hep-th] 26 Oct 2016

Smooth and sharp creation of a pointlike source for a $(3+1)$-dimensional quantum field

L. J. Zhou,[1,2,3,4,*] Margaret E. Carrington,[1,2,†]
Gabor Kunstatter,[2,3,‡] and Jorma Louko[5,§]

[1]*Department of Physics, Brandon University, Brandon, Manitoba, R7A 6A9 Canada*

[2]*Winnipeg Institute for Theoretical Physics, Winnipeg, Manitoba*

[3]*Department of Physics, University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3B 2E9 Canada*

[4]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3T 2N2 Canada*

[5]*School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom*

(Dated: 2016/10/27)

Abstract

We analyse the smooth and sharp creation of a pointlike source for a quantised massless scalar field in $(3+1)$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, as a model for the breakdown of correlations that has been proposed to occur at the horizon of an evaporating black hole. After a smooth creation, the renormalised energy density $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ is well defined away from the source, but it is time dependent, and it is so large near the source that the total energy transmitted into the field during the source creation is infinite. In the sharp creation limit, $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ diverges everywhere in the causal future of the creation event, and so does the response of an Unruh-DeWitt detector that operates in the causal future of the creation event. The source creation is hence significantly more singular than the corresponding process in $1+1$ dimensions, and may be sufficiently singular to break down quantum correlations in the way which has been proposed to happen in the spacetime of an evaporating black hole.

* zhoulingjunjeff@gmail.com

† carrington@brandonu.ca

‡ gkunstatter@uwinnipeg.ca

§ jorma.louko@nottingham.ac.uk

I. INTRODUCTION

In quantum field theory, it has been long known that a time dependent boundary condition or a time dependent metric can create particles and energy flows. Parker's pioneering work showed that a Klein-Gordon field on an expanding cosmological spacetime undergoes particle creation [1]. Moore showed that particle creation can be induced by varying the length of a cavity [2], while Candelas and Deutsch showed that even a single accelerating mirror can induce a flux of particles and energy [3]; this phenomenon is now known as the Dynamical (or non-stationary) Casimir Effect, and it was observed in 2011 using a photon analogue system [4]. The most celebrated example is Hawking's prediction of black hole radiation [5], whose observation in analogue quantum systems may be at the threshold of current technology [6, 7].

In order to reconcile the thermal character of Hawking radiation with fundamental unitarity of quantum theory, it has been proposed [8–14] that the horizon of a radiating black hole could be more singular than the conventional picture of quantum fields on the classical black hole spacetime suggests [15–17]. While detailed modelling of this possible singularity remains elusive, the key proposed feature is that the singularity should break down correlations between the two sides of the horizon. A context in which such breaking of correlations can be studied is quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime. One way to do this is to to write down by hand a quantum state in which the correlations are absent [18, 19]. Another is to allow an impermeable wall to develop where initially there was none [20–23]. The purpose of the present paper is to improve the understanding of the latter scenario.

When the impermeable wall is inserted quickly, in the sense of first letting the wall form smoothly over a finite interval of time and then taking the length of this interval to zero, a surprising feature emerges: for a massless scalar field in $1+1$ dimensions,

the energy transmitted into the field diverges in the limit of rapid wall creation, but the response of an Unruh-DeWitt detector [24, 25] crossing this pulse of diverging energy remains finite [22]. This phenomenon casts doubt on the ability of wall creation, however rapid, to break down quantum correlations sufficiently strongly to save unitarity in an evolving black hole spacetime. One limitation of the analysis in [22] is however that it was done in 1+1 dimensions. Quantum fields generally become more singular as the spacetime dimension increases: would the conclusions in $3+1$ dimensions be similar? A second limitation is that the analysis in [22] relied on an infrared cutoff to eliminate the infrared ambiguity that the massless scalar field has in 1+1 dimensions. Could the results in [22] be an artifact of the (1+1)-dimensional infrared sickness, with no counterpart in $3+1$ dimensions?

In this paper we take a first step towards adapting the wall creation analysis of [22] to 3+1 dimensions, and answering these questions. We consider a massless scalar field in $(3+1)$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, and we introduce at the spatial origin a time-dependent boundary condition that interpolates, over a finite interval of time, between ordinary Minkowski dynamics and a Dirichlet-type condition. As the boundary condition is introduced at just one spatial point, the physical interpretation is now not the smooth creation of a wall but the smooth creation of a pointlike source. We then ask what happens to the energy transmitted into the field and to the response of an Unruh-DeWitt detector in the limit of rapid source creation. The answers turn out to have some similarities with the (1+1)-dimensional analysis of [22] but also significant differences. A technical difference is that in 3+1 dimensions there is no infrared ambiguity, and no infrared cutoff is needed. A conceptual difference is that we do see the field's response to the changing to boundary condition become more singular as the spacetime dimension increases.

First, we consider the energy. We find that creation of the pointlike source over a

finite interval of time pumps into the field an infinite amount of energy. Most of this energy does not radiate away but lingers near the source's location: at a fixed time, the renormalised energy density $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ diverges at $r \to 0$ proportionally to $-(\ln r)/r^4$. Further, at a fixed r, $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ is not static, and it diverges at $t \to \infty$ proportionally to $\ln t$. In the limit of rapid source creation, the energy in the outgoing pulse diverges, but $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ diverges also everywhere in the causal future of the creation event. The source creation hence leaves in the late time region a large energetic memory. This memory has no counterpart in the $(1 + 1)$-dimensional analysis of [22].

Second, we consider the response of a static Unruh-DeWitt detector. We find that the response of a detector that operates only in the late time region mimics $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ closely, both in the late time limit with fixed λ and in the limit of rapid source creation at a fixed location: in both limits, the response has a logarithmic divergence. We have not considered in detail the response of a detector that goes through the pulse emanating from the changing boundary condition, but the behaviour in the post-pulse region is already sufficient to establish that the response does not remain finite in the limit of rapid source creation.

We conclude that the rapid creation of a source makes the $(3 + 1)$-dimensional field significantly more singular than the corresponding event in $1 + 1$ dimensions; in particular, the response of an Unruh-DeWitt detector diverges in the rapid creation limit. These results suggest that a source creation may be able to model the breaking of quantum correlations in the way that has been proposed to happen in an evolving black hole spacetime [8–14]. The persistence of large late time effects is perhaps particularly reminiscent of the energetic curtain scenario proposed in [8].

We begin in Section II by setting up the classical dynamics of the scalar field under the evolving boundary condition at the spatial origin. Section III introduces the quantised field and evaluates $\langle T_{00} \rangle$. The response of an Unruh-DeWitt detector is

considered in Section IV. Section V gives a brief summary and discussion. Technical material is relegated to four appendices.

Our metric signature is mostly minus. Overline denotes complex conjugation. We work in geometric units in which $\hbar = c = 1$.

II. CLASSICAL FIELD

A. Field equation and boundary condition

We consider a real massless scalar field ϕ in $(3+1)$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime from which the spatial origin has been excised. Writing the metric as

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - \left(dx^1\right)^2 - \left(dx^2\right)^2 - \left(dx^3\right)^2 , \tag{II.1}$$

the field equation is

$$(\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2)\phi = 0 , \tag{II.2}$$

where $\nabla^2 = \partial_{x^1}^2 + \partial_{x^2}^2 + \partial_{x^3}^2$. The Klein-Gordon inner product evaluated on a constant t hypersurface reads

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_1, \phi_2)_{KG} &= i \int dx^1\, dx^2\, dx^3 \left(\overline{\phi_1}\partial_t\phi_2 - (\partial_t\overline{\phi_1})\phi_2\right) \\ &= i \int_0^\infty r^2\, dr \int_{S^2} d\Omega \left(\overline{\phi_1}\partial_t\phi_2 - (\partial_t\overline{\phi_1})\phi_2\right) , \end{aligned} \tag{II.3}$$

using in the last expression spherical coordinates and denoting by $d\Omega$ the volume element on unit S^2. The excised spatial origin is at $r = 0$.

To specify the dynamics, we need to define ∇^2 at each t as a self-adjoint operator. After decomposition into spherical harmonics, the only freedom is in the spherically

symmetric sector, as discussed in Appendix A: writing

$$\phi(t,r) = \frac{f(t,r)}{\sqrt{4\pi}\, r}\,, \tag{II.4}$$

the eigenfunctions of ∇^2 must satisfy the boundary condition

$$\big(\cos\theta(t)\big) \lim_{r\to 0} f(t,r) = L\big(\sin\theta(t)\big) \lim_{r\to 0} \partial_r f(t,r)\,, \tag{II.5}$$

where L is a positive constant of dimension length, introduced for dimensional convenience, and the prescribed function $\theta(t)$, taking values in $[0,\pi)$, specifies at each t the self-adjoint extension of ∇^2. We denote this extension by $\Delta_{\theta(t)}$.

Δ_0 coincides with the unique self-adjoint extension of ∇^2 on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, yielding usual scalar field dynamics on full Minkowski space. For $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, Δ_θ has a positive proper eigenvalue, which on quantisation would give a tachyonic instability. We therefore assume $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, in which case the spectrum of Δ_θ consists of the negative continuum.

We specialise to a $\theta(t)$ that interpolates between $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/2$ over a finite interval of time. We may parametrise $\theta(t)$ as

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \le 0\,, \\ \operatorname{arccot}\big[\lambda L \cot\big(h(\lambda t)\big)\big] & \text{for } 0 < t < \lambda^{-1}\,, \\ \pi/2 & \text{for } t \ge \lambda^{-1}\,, \end{cases} \tag{II.6}$$

where λ is a positive constant of dimension inverse length and $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that

$$h(y) = 0 \qquad \text{for } y \le 0\,, \tag{II.7a}$$

$$0 < h(y) < \pi/2 \quad \text{for } 0 < y < 1\,, \tag{II.7b}$$

$$h(y) = \pi/2 \qquad \text{for } y \ge 1\,. \tag{II.7c}$$

Over the interval $0 < t < \lambda^{-1}$, the boundary condition (II.5) then reads

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\partial_r f(t,r)}{f(t,r)} = \lambda \cot\big(h(\lambda t)\big) \ . \tag{II.8}$$

In words, this parametrisation means that the boundary condition interpolation takes place over time λ^{-1} while the interpolation profile is determined by the dimensionless function h. The limit of rapid interpolation with fixed profile is that of $\lambda \to \infty$ with fixed h.

B. Mode functions

As preparation for quantisation, we shall write down the mode solutions that reduce to the usual Minkowski modes for $t \leq 0$. As noted above, we need consider only the spherically symmetric sector.

We work in the radial null coordinates $u := t - r$ and $v := t + r$, in which $t = (v+u)/2$ and $r = (v-u)/2$. The metric (II.1) becomes

$$ds^2 = du\, dv - \tfrac{1}{4}(v-u)^2 \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, d\varphi^2\right) \ . \tag{II.9}$$

Taking ϕ to be spherically symmetric, the field equation (II.2) becomes

$$\partial_u \partial_v (r\phi) = 0 \, . \tag{II.10}$$

We hence seek mode solutions with the ansatz

$$\phi_k = \frac{U_k}{\sqrt{4\pi}\, r} \, , \tag{II.11}$$

where

$$U_k(u,v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi k}} \left[e^{-ikv} + E_k(u)\right] \ , \tag{II.12}$$

$k > 0$, and E_k is to be found. As any choice for E_k satisfies the wave equation, the task is to determine E_k so that the boundary condition (II.5) is satisfied for all t and the usual Minkowski modes are obtained for $t \leq 0$.

Substituting (II.12) in the boundary condition (II.5) gives for E_k the ordinary differential equation

$$L \sin\big(\theta(t)\big) \frac{d}{dt} \big[e^{-ikt} - E_k(t)\big] = \cos\big(\theta(t)\big) \big[e^{-ikt} + E_k(t)\big] \ . \tag{II.13}$$

Writing

$$E_k(u) = R_{k/\lambda}(\lambda u) \tag{II.14}$$

and using (II.6), (II.13) takes the dimensionless form

$$\sin\big(h(y)\big) \frac{d}{dy} \big[e^{-iKy} - R_K(y)\big] = \cos\big(h(y)\big) \big[e^{-iKy} + R_K(y)\big] \ , \tag{II.15}$$

where $K = k/\lambda > 0$ is the dimensionless frequency and $y = \lambda u$.

To solve (II.15), we introduce the auxiliary function

$$B(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } y \leq 0 \ , \\ \exp\left(- \displaystyle\int_y^1 \cot\big(h(z)\big) \, dz \right) & \text{for } 0 < y < 1 \ , \\ 1 & \text{for } y \geq 1 \ . \end{cases} \tag{II.16}$$

$B(y)$ is everywhere smooth: smoothness at $y = 1$ follows from the smoothness of $h(z)$ near $z = 1$, and smoothness at $y = 0$ is shown in Appendix B. For $y > 0$, $B(y)$ satisfies

$$\frac{B'(y)}{B(y)} = \cot\big(h(y)\big) \, . \tag{II.17}$$

It follows that the solution to (II.15) is

$$R_K(y) = \begin{cases} -e^{-iKy} & \text{for } y \leq 0 \ , \\ e^{-iKy} - \dfrac{2}{B(y)} \displaystyle\int_0^y B'(z)\, e^{-iKz}\, dz & \text{for } 0 < y < 1 \ , \\ e^{-iKy} - 2C_K & \text{for } y \geq 1 \ , \end{cases} \tag{II.18}$$

where

$$C_K = \int_0^1 B'(z)\, e^{-iKz}\, dz \, . \tag{II.19}$$

$R_K(y)$ is smooth everywhere, including $y = 0$ and $y = 1$, as follows by observing that an alternative expression for $y > 0$ is

$$R_K(y) = -e^{-iKy} - \frac{2iK}{B(y)} \int_0^y B(z)\, e^{-iKz}\, dz \tag{II.20}$$

and using the properties of B. It follows that $E_k(u)$ is a smooth function of u.

At $u \leq 0$ and $u \geq \lambda^{-1}$, the mode functions ϕ_k (II.11) reduce respectively to

$$\phi_k(t,r) = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{ie^{-ikt}\sin(kr)}{2\pi\sqrt{k}\, r} & \text{for } u \leq 0 \ , \\ \dfrac{e^{-ikt}\cos(kr) - C_{k/\lambda}}{2\pi\sqrt{k}\, r} & \text{for } u \geq \lambda^{-1} \ . \end{cases} \tag{II.21}$$

For $u \leq 0$, $\phi_k(t,r)$ coincide with the usual Minkowski space mode functions. Evaluating the Klein-Gordon inner product (II.3) on a hypersurface of constant negative t shows that the normalisation is $(\phi_k, \phi_{k'})_{KG} = \delta(k - k')$. For $u \geq \lambda^{-1}$, the r-dependence in the numerator of $\phi_k(t,r)$ (II.21) contains the term $\cos(kr)$ which one would expect from the boundary condition (II.5) with $\theta = \pi/2$, but it contains also the additive memory term $-C_{k/\lambda}$, which carries a recollection of how the boundary condition evolved from $\theta = 0$ to $\theta = \pi/2$. From (II.19) we see that $C_0 = 1$, while $C_K \to 0$ faster than any inverse power of K as $K \to \infty$, as can be verified by repeated integration by parts [26]. For fixed λ, the memory term is hence insignificant



FIG. 1. Spacetime diagram of the evolving boundary condition (II.5) at $r = 0$, with the angular dimensions suppressed. The interpolation between $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/2$ at $r = 0$ occurs over $0 < t < \lambda^{-1}$, and the null cones of the events where the boundary condition changes fill the region $0 < u < \lambda^{-1}$ in the spacetime. The early region $u < 0$ is outside the null cone of $(t, r) = (0, 0)$, and the mode functions there coincide with those in full Minkowski space. The mode functions in the late region $u > \lambda^{-1}$ carry a memory of the field evolution that occurred over the intermediate region $0 < u < \lambda^{-1}$. The spacelike hypersurface $t = T > \lambda^{-1}$, shown as dashed, intersects all three regions.

at large frequencies but significant at low frequencies. We shall see in Section III that the memory term will be essential for the stress-energy tensor and the Wightman function.

A spacetime diagram is shown in Figure 1, indicating the regions $u < 0$, $0 < u < \lambda^{-1}$ and $u > \lambda^{-1}$.

III. QUANTISED FIELD

A. Field operator and the Fock vacuum

We quantise the field by using for the spherically symmetric sector the mode functions found in Section II and treating the nonzero angular momentum sectors as in ordinary Minkowski space. As we are interested in the effects due to the evolving boundary condition, compared with a field in ordinary Minkowski space, we write out only the expressons for the spherically symmetric sector.

We expand the spherically symmetric sector of the quantised field as

$$\phi = \int_0^\infty \left(a_k \phi_k + a_k^\dagger \overline{\phi}_k\right) dk \,, \tag{III.1}$$

where the annihilation and creation operators have the commutators $\left[a_k, a_{k'}^\dagger\right] = \delta(k - k')$. By the normalisation of the mode functions, this gives the field and its time derivative the correct equal-time commutator. We denote by $|0\rangle$ the state that is annihilated by all a_k and by all the annihilation operators of the nonzero angular momentum sectors. In the region $u < 0$, $|0\rangle$ coincides with the usual Minkowski vacuum, which we denote by $|0_M\rangle$.

In what follows, it will be convenient to use the quantised field f that is related to ϕ by (II.4). From (II.12) and (III.1) we then see that

$$f = \int_0^\infty \left(a_k U_k + a_k^\dagger \overline{U}_k\right) dk \,. \tag{III.2}$$

B. Energy

We wish to find the energy that the evolving boundary condition transmits into the quantum field.

In the Lorentz frame of the metric (II.1), the energy density of the classical scalar field is given in terms of the energy-momentum tensor by

$$T_{00} = T_{uu} + T_{vv} + 2T_{uv}\,, \tag{III.3}$$

where [15]

$$T_{uu} = (\partial_u \phi)^2\,, \tag{III.4a}$$

$$T_{vv} = (\partial_v \phi)^2\,, \tag{III.4b}$$

$$T_{uv} = T_{vu} = \frac{1}{4r^2}\left[(\partial_\theta \phi)^2 + (\sin\theta)^{-2}(\partial_\varphi \phi)^2\right]\,, \tag{III.4c}$$

and we have taken the scalar field to be minimally coupled. To obtain the renormalised energy density of the quantised field in the state $|0\rangle$, $\langle T_{00}\rangle := \langle 0|T_{00}|0\rangle_{\rm ren}$, we point-split the expressions in (III.4), take the expectation value in $|0\rangle$, renormalise by subtracting the corresponding expectation value in $|0_M\rangle$, and finally take the coincidence limit. As $|0\rangle$ and $|0_M\rangle$ differ only in the spherically symmetric sector, the derivatives in (III.4c) show that $\langle T_{uv}\rangle = 0$, and we find

$$\langle T_{00}\rangle = \lim_{\substack{u_1,u_2\to u\\ v_1,v_2\to v}} (\partial_{u_1}\partial_{u_2} + \partial_{v_1}\partial_{v_2})\Big[\langle 0|\phi(1)\phi(2)|0\rangle - \langle 0_M|\phi(1)\phi(2)|0_M\rangle\Big]\,, \tag{III.5}$$

where ϕ now stands for the spherically symmetric quantum field (III.1). Using (II.4), and recalling that $r = (v-u)/2$, we obtain

$$4\pi\langle T_{00}\rangle = \frac{\langle(\partial_u f)^2\rangle}{r^2} + \frac{\langle(\partial_v f)^2\rangle}{r^2} + \frac{\langle f(\partial_u f - \partial_v f)\rangle + c.c.}{2r^3} + \frac{\langle f^2\rangle}{2r^4}\,. \tag{III.6}$$

Using (II.12), (II.14) and (III.2), we find

$$\langle T_{00}\rangle = \frac{\lambda^2}{16\pi^2 r^2}\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\left[\big|R_K'(\lambda(t-r))\big|^2 - K^2\right] - \frac{1}{32\pi^2 r^2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\mathcal{G}_\lambda(t,r)}{r}\right)\,, \tag{III.7}$$

where the prime on R_K denotes the derivative with respect to the argument and

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda(t,r) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[\big|R_K\big(\lambda(t-r)\big)\big|^2 + 2\cos(2K\lambda r) - 1 \\ + R_K\big(\lambda(t-r)\big)e^{iK\lambda(t+r)} + \overline{R_K(\lambda(t-r))}e^{-iK\lambda(t+r)}\Big]\,. \tag{III.8}$$

The first term in (III.6) gives the first term in (III.7), the last two terms in (III.6) combine to the second term in (III.7), and the second term in (III.6) vanishes.

In the early region, $t < r$, $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ vanishes, as is immediate from (II.18), (III.7) and (III.8). This had to happen because in the early region $|0\rangle$ coincides with $|0_M\rangle$ by construction.

In the late region, $t > r + \lambda^{-1}$, the first term in (III.7) vanishes. We show in Appendix C that $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ is well defined, has dependence on both t and r, and has the asymptotic late time and small radius forms

$$\langle T_{00} \rangle \sim \frac{\ln t}{4\pi^2 r^4} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty \text{ with } r \text{ fixed}, \tag{III.9a}$$

$$\langle T_{00} \rangle \sim -\frac{\ln r}{8\pi^2 r^4} \quad \text{as } r \to 0 \text{ with } t \text{ fixed}. \tag{III.9b}$$

Each hypersurface of $t = T =$ constant with $T > \lambda^{-1}$ (see Figure 1) has hence an infinite total energy, due to a diverging positive contribution from small r. The change in the boundary condition has transmitted into the quantum field an infinite amount of energy that lingers in a cloud concentrated near $r = 0$.

In the intermediate region, $r \leq t \leq r + \lambda^{-1}$, the first term in (III.7) and the function $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ (III.8) are well defined, as we show in Appendix C. We have not analysed the sense in which the r-derivative in the second term of (III.7) exists. If this derivative exists pointwise throughout $r \leq t \leq r + \lambda^{-1}$, as the smoothness of the mode functions suggests, it would follow that $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ is defined at all positive r.

We wish to consider the limit of rapid boundary condition evolution, $\lambda \to \infty$. At each given point in the region $t > r$, $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ diverges in this limit, with the asymptotic form

$$\langle T_{00} \rangle \sim \frac{\ln \lambda}{8\pi^2 r^4}\,, \tag{III.10}$$

as we show in Appendix C. To control what happens in the region $r \leq t \leq r + \lambda^{-1}$

as $\lambda \to \infty$ and this region shrinks to zero width, we consider the total energy on a hypersurface of $t = T =$ constant with $T > 0$ (see Figure 1), and we exclude a neighbourhood of the origin by introducing a cutoff r_0, with $0 < r_0 < T$. We assume that the r-derivative in the second term of (III.7) exists at least as a distribution. When λ is so large that $r_0 < T - \lambda^{-1}$, the total energy on this hypersurface is then

$$E_\lambda(T, r_0) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dy \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K} \left[\left| R'_K(y) \right|^2 - K^2 \right] \; + \; \frac{1}{8\pi r_0} \, \mathcal{G}_\lambda(T, r_0) \,, \qquad \text{(III.11)}$$

as obtained by integrating (III.7) and recalling that $\mathcal{G}_\lambda(t, r)$ vanishes for $r > t$. The second term in (III.11) has the asymptotic form $(\ln \lambda)/(\pi r_0)$ at $\lambda \to \infty$, as we show in Appendix C. In the first term in (III.11), the inner integral converges for each y, and while we have limited information about the function of y that ensues, the crucial point is that the only dependence on λ is the overall multiplicative factor. It is clear that $E_\lambda(T, r_0)$ cannot have a finite limit as $\lambda \to \infty$.

IV. RESPONSE OF AN UNRUH-DEWITT DETECTOR

In this section we consider an inertial Unruh-DeWitt (UDW) detector [24, 25] at a fixed spatial location.

We consider a detector that is coupled linearly to the quantum field. Within first-order perturbation theory, the probability of the detector to undergo a transition from a state with energy 0 to a state with energy ω is proportional to the response function, given by [15, 16, 24, 25]

$$\mathcal{F}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_2 \, e^{-i\omega(t_1 - t_2)} \, \chi(t_1) \chi(t_2) \, \mathcal{W}(t_1, t_2) \,, \qquad \text{(IV.1)}$$

where the smooth real-valued switching function χ specifies how the detector's interaction with the field is turned on and off, and $\mathcal{W}$ is the pull-back of the field's

Wightman function to the detector's worldline. In the Minkowski vacuum $|0_M\rangle$, we have [15]

$$\mathcal{W}_{|0_M\rangle}(t_1,t_2) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2(t_1-t_2-i\epsilon)^2}\,, \tag{IV.2}$$

where the limit $\epsilon \to 0_+$ is implied and encodes the distributional character, and from (IV.1) we obtain [18, 27, 28]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{|0_M\rangle}(\omega) = &-\frac{\omega\Theta(-\omega)}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du\,[\chi(u)]^2 \\ &+\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_0^\infty ds\,\frac{\cos(\omega s)}{s^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du\,\chi(u)[\chi(u)-\chi(u-s)]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{IV.3}$$

where Θ is the Heaviside function. Denoting by $\mathcal{F}_{|0\rangle}$ the response function in the state $|0\rangle$, and setting $\Delta\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{|0\rangle} - \mathcal{F}_{|0_M\rangle}$, we then have

$$\Delta\mathcal{F}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_2\, e^{-i\omega(t_1-t_2)}\,\chi(t_1)\chi(t_2)\,\Delta\mathcal{W}(t_1,t_2)\,, \tag{IV.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{W}(t_1,t_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\int_0^\infty \Big(&U_k(t_1-r,t_1+r)\overline{U_k(t_2-r,t_2+r)} \\ &- U_k^M(t_1-r,t_1+r)\overline{U_k^M(t_2-r,t_2+r)}\Big)\,dk\,,\end{aligned} \tag{IV.5}$$

where r is the location of the detector and U^M is as in (II.12) but with $E_k(u) = -e^{-iku}$ for all u. Note that $\Delta\mathcal{W}(t_1,t_2)$ vanishes when $t_1, t_2 \le r$.

We consider a detector that operates only in the future region, $t > r + \lambda^{-1}$. For

$t_1, t_2 > r + \lambda^{-1}$, the integrand in (IV.5) can be rearranged and split to give

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi^2 r^2 \Delta\mathcal{W}(t_1,t_2) = &\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[(1-C_K)\,e^{iK\lambda t_2} + (1-\overline{C}_K)\,e^{-iK\lambda t_1}\Big]\cos(K\lambda r) \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[|C_K|^2 - \cos(K\lambda r)\Big] \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[\big(1-e^{iK\lambda t_2}\big) + \big(1-e^{-iK\lambda t_1}\big)\Big]\cos(K\lambda r) \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\big(e^{-iK\lambda(t_1-t_2)} - 1\big)\cos(2K\lambda r) \\
&+ \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\big[\cos(2K\lambda r) - \cos(K\lambda r)\big]\,. \qquad \text{(IV.6)}
\end{aligned}$$

The integrals in (IV.6) can be evaluated in terms of the identities and the function H given in Appendix D, with the result

$$\begin{aligned}
8\pi^2 r^2 \Delta\mathcal{W}(t_1,t_2) = &\,H\big(\lambda(t_2+r)\big) + H\big(\lambda(t_2-r)\big) + \overline{H\big(\lambda(t_1+r)\big)} + \overline{H\big(\lambda(t_1-r)\big)} \\
&+ \ln\left(\frac{\lambda^2\big(t_1^2-r^2\big)\big(t_2^2-r^2\big)}{\big|4r^2-(t_1-t_2)^2\big|}\right) \\
&+ i\pi\big[\Theta(t_2-t_1-2r) - \Theta(t_1-t_2-2r)\big] + 2k_1\,, \qquad \text{(IV.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant k_1 is given by (D.2). Note that $\mathcal{W}(t_1,t_2)$ has singularities at $|t_1-t_2| = 2r$, which is when the two points are separated by a null geodesic that bounces off the origin, but this singularity is only logarithmic, and $\Delta\mathcal{W}(t_1,t_2)$ is representable by a function.

We consider two limits.

First, suppose that the support of χ is contained in some finite interval of fixed length, centered at $t = t_c$, and consider the limit $t_c \to \infty$. By the large argument expansion of H in (D.4), the contribution from the H-terms in (IV.7) vanishes in

this limit, and we have

$$\Delta\mathcal{F}(\omega) \sim \frac{(\ln t_c)\left|\widehat{\chi}(\omega)\right|^2}{2\pi^2 r^2}\,, \tag{IV.8}$$

where the hat denotes the Fourier transform, $\widehat{\chi}(\omega) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t}\,\chi(t)\,dt$. $\Delta\mathcal{F}$ hence diverges in this limit, proportionally to $\ln t_c$. This is similar to the late time divergence of T_{00} (III.9a).

Second, consider the limit of large λ. We assume that the support of χ is contained in $[r+a,\infty)$, where a is a positive constant, and we take λ large enough that $\lambda^{-1} < a$. By similar arguments, we find

$$\Delta\mathcal{F}(\omega) = \frac{(\ln\lambda)\left|\widehat{\chi}(\omega)\right|^2}{4\pi^2 r^2} \;+\; O(1)\,. \tag{IV.9}$$

The $\ln\lambda$ divergence in (IV.9) at $\lambda\to\infty$ is similar to the $\ln\lambda$ divergence of T_{00} (III.10).

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have addressed the smooth and sharp creation of a pointlike source for a massless scalar field in $(3+1)$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, implemented by introducing at the spatial origin a time-dependent boundary condition that interpolates between ordinary Minkowski dynamics and a Dirichlet-type boundary condition. We found that the process is significantly more singular than a corresponding creation of a wall in $(1+1)$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime [22]. When the source creation takes place over a finite interval of time, we showed that the total energy transmitted into the field is infinite, due to a cloud of large positive energy density that lingers near the source. We did not settle whether the local energy density is well defined everywhere on the null cones of the points where the boundary condition is changing, but we suspect this to be the case, by the smoothness of the changing

boundary condition and the smoothness of the mode functions. In the rapid source creation limit, we showed that the energy density of the field diverges everywhere in the causal future of the creation event, and so does the response of an Unruh-DeWitt detector that operates in the causal future of the creation event.

There are two technical reasons for the differences between our (3+1)-dimensional process and the corresponding $(1+1)$-dimensional process analysed in [22]. First, as our boundary condition is at a single spatial point, it does not divide the $(3+1)$-dimensional spacetime into two regions. Our boundary condition in fact resembles more closely the removal of a $(1+1)$-dimensional wall than its creation [23]. This affects both $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ and the response of the Unruh-DeWitt detector. Second, the $(3+1)$-dimensional $\langle T_{00} \rangle$ (III.6) contains terms that have no counterpart in $1+1$ dimensions, and these additional terms are especially significant near the source.

Our results suggest that the creation of a pointlike source in quantum field theory may be sufficiently singular to model the breaking of correlations that has been proposed to happen at the horizon of an evaporating black hole [8–14]. What the pointlike source in $3+1$ dimensions cannot however do, is to divide the spacetime into two. We anticipate that our techniques can be adapted to address an evolving boundary condition on a spherical shell, where the dynamics will be potentially more germane for modelling possible new physics in the spacetime of an evaporating black hole. In particular, will the evolving boundary condition on the spherical shell still require an infinite energy to be pumped into the field?

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Joel Feinstein for a useful discussion on smooth functions and Jim Langley for providing the proof of Proposition B.1. This work was funded in part by

the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (MEC and GK) and by Science and Technology Facilities Council (JL, Theory Consolidated Grant ST/J000388/1). For hospitality, GK thanks the University of Nottingham, and JL thanks the University of Winnipeg, the Winnipeg Institute for Theoretical Physics, and the Nordita 2016 "Black Holes and Emergent Spacetime" program.

Appendix A: Scalar Laplacian on punctured $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this appendix we record relevant properties of the scalar Laplacian on punctured Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$.

We use spherical coordinates in which r is the radial coordinate and the puncture is at $r = 0$. The scalar Laplacian reads

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\partial_r\big(r^{n-1}\partial_r\big) + \frac{1}{r^2}\nabla^2_{S^{n-1}}\,, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\nabla^2_{S^{n-1}}$ is the Laplacian on unit S^{n-1}. The L_2 inner product is

$$(g_1, g_2) = \int_0^\infty r^{n-1}\, dr \int_{S^{n-1}} d\Omega\, \overline{g_1} g_2\,, \tag{A.2}$$

where $d\Omega$ is the volume element on unit S^{n-1}.

The scaling $g = r^{(1-n)/2} f$ maps the inner product to

$$(f_1, f_2)_{sc} = \int_0^\infty dr \int_{S^{n-1}} d\Omega\, \overline{f_1} f_2 \tag{A.3}$$

and ∇^2 to

$$\nabla^2_{sc} = \partial_r^2 - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{4r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\nabla^2_{S^{n-1}}\,. \tag{A.4}$$

After decomposition into spherical harmonics, ∇^2_{sc} reduces for each harmonic to the operator $\partial_r^2 - a/r^2$, where $a \geq -1/4$, and the inner product $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)_{sc}$ reduces to the

standard L_2 inner product on the positive half-line. The self-adjoint extensions of ∇^2_{sc} for each harmonic can hence be analysed by standard methods [29, 30] (for a pedagogical introduction see [31]), and the outcomes are summarised in [32]. The self-adjoint extension is unique except for $a = -1/4$, which occurs in the spherically symmetric sector for $n = 2$, and for $a = 0$, which occurs in the spherically symmetric sector for $n = 3$. In each of these two cases there is a $U(1)$ family of self-adjoint extensions, characterised by a boundary condition at the origin.

In the $n = 3$ spherically symmetric sector, the boundary condition at the origin is

$$\cos\theta \lim_{r\to 0} f(r) = L \sin\theta \lim_{r\to 0} f'(r)\,, \tag{A.5}$$

where L is a positive constant of dimension length, introduced for dimensional convenience, and $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ is the parameter that specifies the extension. For $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ the spectrum consists of the negative continuum, while for $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ there is also one proper eigenvalue, which is positive and nondegenerate. The case $\theta = 0$ reduces to the essentially self-adjoint operator ∇^2 on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Appendix B: Smoothness of B (II.16)

In this appendix we show that the function $B(y)$ (II.16) is smooth at $y = 0$.

From (II.16) it is immediate that $B(y) \to 0$ as $y \to 0_+$. We show below in Proposition B.1 that $y^{-1}B^{(n)}(y) \to 0$ as $y \to 0_+$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. From this it follows by induction in n that all derivatives of $B(y)$ at $y = 0$ exist and vanish.

Proposition B.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $y^{-1}B^{(n)}(y) \to 0$ as $y \to 0_+$.*

Proof. (This proof was provided by Jim Langley.) Let $0 < y < 1$, and write $g(y) := \tan\big(h(y)\big)$, where h was defined in Section II A. Note that $g(y) > 0$, $g(y)$ and all its

derivatives approach 0 as $y \to 0_+$, and from (II.16) we have

$$B(y) = \exp\left(-\int_y^1 \frac{dz}{g(z)}\right) . \tag{B.1}$$

By induction in n,

$$B^{(n)}(y) = \frac{P_n(y)B(y)}{\big(g(y)\big)^n} \quad , \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \,, \tag{B.2}$$

where each P_n is a polynomial in g and its derivatives. Since each P_n is bounded as $y \to 0_+$, it suffices to show that $f_n(y) \to 0$ as $y \to 0_+$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where

$$f_n(y) = \frac{B(y)}{y\big(g(y)\big)^n} \,. \tag{B.3}$$

From (B.3) we have

$$\ln\big(f_n(y)\big) = -\left(\int_y^1 \frac{dz}{g(z)}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\ln y + n\ln\big(g(y)\big)}{\displaystyle\int_y^1 \frac{dz}{g(z)}}\right) . \tag{B.4}$$

As $y \to 0_+$, the first parentheses in (B.4) tend to ∞, while the second parentheses tend to 1 by L'Hôpital. Hence $\ln\big(f_n(y)\big) \to -\infty$ as $y \to 0_+$, by which $f_n(y) \to 0$ as $y \to 0_+$. □

Appendix C: Properties of $\langle T_{00}\rangle$

In this appendix we verify the properties of $\langle T_{00}\rangle$ that are used in Section III B.

1. Late time region, $t > r + \lambda^{-1}$

Consider the late time region, $t > r + \lambda^{-1}$. From (II.18) we see that the first term in (III.7) vanishes and (III.8) reduces to

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda(t,r) = 4\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[|C_K|^2 + \cos(2K\lambda r) - \big(C_K e^{iK\lambda t} + \overline{C}_K e^{-iK\lambda t}\big)\cos(K\lambda r)\Big]\,. \tag{C.1}$$

The integral in (C.1) is convergent (at large K in the sense of an improper Riemann integral) by the large and small K properties of C_K. $\mathcal{G}_\lambda(t,r)$ is hence well defined and finite.

Rearranging the integrand in (C.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_\lambda(t,r) = 4\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[(1-C_K)\,e^{iK\lambda t} + (1-\overline{C}_K)\,e^{-iK\lambda t}\Big]\cos(K\lambda r)\\ + 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[|C_K|^2 - \cos\big(K\lambda(t+r)\big)\Big]\\ + 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[|C_K|^2 - \cos\big(K\lambda(t-r)\big)\Big]\\ + 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[\cos\big(2K\lambda r\big) - \cos\big(K\lambda(t+r)\big)\Big]\\ + 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\Big[\cos\big(2K\lambda r\big) - \cos\big(K\lambda(t-r)\big)\Big]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Evaluating the integrals in terms of the identities and the function H given in Appendix D, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_\lambda(t,r) = 2H\big(\lambda(t+r)\big) + 2H\big(\lambda(t-r)\big) + 2\overline{H\big(\lambda(t+r)\big)} + 2\overline{H\big(\lambda(t-r)\big)}\\ + 4\ln\left(\frac{\lambda(t^2-r^2)}{r}\right) - 4\ln 2 + 4k_1\,,\end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

where the constant k_1 is given by (D.2). The observations in Section III B about

$\langle T_{00}\rangle$ in the region $t > r + \lambda^{-1}$ now follow from (C.3), using differentiability of H and the large argument expansion of H given in Proposition D.2.

2. Intermediate time region, $r \le t \le r + \lambda^{-1}$

Consider the intermediate time region, $r \le t \le r + \lambda^{-1}$.

For $r < t < r + \lambda^{-1}$, the integrals in (III.7) and (III.8) are convergent because (II.18) implies for fixed $y \in (0, 1)$ the small K estimates

$$R_K(y) = -1 + O(K)\,, \tag{C.4a}$$

$$|R'_K(y)|^2 = O\big(K^2\big)\,, \tag{C.4b}$$

and the large K estimates

$$R_K(y) = e^{-iKy}\left[1 + 2\frac{B'(y)}{B(y)}\frac{1}{iK} + O\big(K^{-2}\big)\right], \tag{C.5a}$$

$$|R_K(y)|^2 = 1 + O\big(K^{-2}\big)\,, \tag{C.5b}$$

$$|R'_K(y)|^2 = K^2 + O\big(K^{-2}\big)\,. \tag{C.5c}$$

For $t = r$, the integrands in (III.7) and (III.8) vanish. For $t = r + \lambda^{-1}$, the integrand in (III.7) vanishes, while (III.8) is given by (C.1) with $t = r + \lambda^{-1}$, and all the steps from (C.1) to (C.3) still hold with $t = r + \lambda^{-1}$.

Collecting, we see that $\mathcal{G}_\lambda(t, r)$ (III.8) and the first term in (III.7) are well defined everywhere in $r \le t \le r + \lambda^{-1}$.

We shall not attempt to examine whether $\partial_r \mathcal{G}_\lambda(t, r)$ exists for $r \le t \le r + \lambda^{-1}$. A positive answer would imply that $\langle T_{00}\rangle$ is well defined everywhere.

Appendix D: Useful integrals

In this appendix we collect results about useful integrals. We recall that C_K (II.19) is smooth in K, it falls off at large K faster than any inverse power of K, and $C_0 = 1$.

Proposition D.1. *For $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we have*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K} \left(e^{i\alpha K} - e^{i\beta K}\right) = \ln(\beta/\alpha)\,, \tag{D.1a}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K} \left(e^{i\alpha K} - e^{-i\beta K}\right) = \ln(\beta/\alpha) + i\pi\,, \tag{D.1b}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K} \Big[|C_K|^2 - \cos(\alpha K)\Big] = \ln\alpha + k_1\,, \tag{D.1c}$$

where

$$k_1 = \gamma + \int_0^1 \frac{dK}{K}\big(|C_K|^2 - 1\big) + \int_1^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\,|C_K|^2 \tag{D.2}$$

and γ is Euler's constant.

Proof. In (D.1a) and (D.1b), we insert a low K cut-off, express the integral of each term in terms of the exponential integral E_1 [33], and use small argument form of E_1 to remove the cutoff.

In (D.1c), we break the integral into the subintervals $0 < K < 1$ and $1 < K < \infty$, express the contributions from the subintervals in terms of the cosine integrals Cin and Ci [33], and use the cosine integral identities [33]. Note that k_1 is finite because of the small and large K properties of C_K. □

Proposition D.2. *For $\alpha > 0$, let*

$$H(\alpha) := \int_0^\infty \frac{dK}{K}(1 - C_K)\, e^{i\alpha K}\,. \tag{D.3}$$

H is differentiable and has the large argument expansion

$$H(\alpha) = -\sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(p+1)\alpha^{p+1}} \int_0^1 z^{p+1} B'(z)\, dz \;+\; O\big(\alpha^{-(n+2)}\big)\,, \tag{D.4}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

Proof. To verify differentiability, we break the integral in (D.3) to $0 < K < 1$ and $1 < K < \infty$. This gives

$$H(\alpha) = E_1(-i\alpha) \;-\; \int_1^\infty \frac{dK}{K}\, C_K\, e^{i\alpha K} \;+\; \int_0^1 \frac{dK}{K}(1 - C_K)\, e^{i\alpha K}\,, \tag{D.5}$$

where E_1 is the principal branch of the exponential integral function [33]. Each term in (D.5) is differentiable, E_1 as known [33], and the integral terms by their convergence properties.

The large argument expansion (D.4) is obtained by integrating (D.3) repeatedly by parts [26] and using (II.19). □

[1] L. Parker, "Quantized fields and particle creation in expanding universes. 1.," Phys. Rev. **183**, 1057 (1969).

[2] G. Moore, "Quantum theory of electromagnetic field in a variable-length one-dimensional cavity," J. Math. Phys. **11**, 2679 (1970).

[3] P. Candelas and D. Deutsch, "On the vacuum stress induced by uniform acceleration or supporting the ether," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **354**, 79 (1977).

[4] C. M. Wilson, G. Johansson, A. Pourkabirian, M. Simoen, J. R. Johansson, T. Duty, F. Nori and P. Delsing, "Observation of the dynamical Casimir effect in a superconducting circuit," Nature **479**, 376 (2011) [arXiv:1105.4714 [quant-ph]].

[5] S. W. Hawking, "Particle creation by black holes," Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975) [Erratum-ibid. **46**, 206 (1976)].

[6] F. Belgiorno *et al.*, "Hawking radiation from ultrashort laser pulse filaments," Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 203901 (2010) [arXiv:1009.4634 [gr-qc]].

[7] J. Steinhauer, "Observation of thermal Hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole," Nature Physics **12**, 959 (2016) [arXiv:1510.00621 [gr-qc]].

[8] S. L. Braunstein, "Black hole entropy as entropy of entanglement, or it's curtains for the equivalence principle," arXiv:0907.1190v1 [quant-ph]; S. L. Braunstein, S. Pirandola and K. Zyczkowski, "Better Late than Never: Information Retrieval from Black Holes," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 101301 (2013) [arXiv:0907.1190v3 [quant-ph]].

[9] S. D. Mathur, "The information paradox: a pedagogical introduction," Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 224001 (2009) [arXiv:0909.1038 [hep-th]].

[10] A. Almheiri, D. Marolf, J. Polchinski and J. Sully, "Black Holes: Complementarity or Firewalls?," JHEP **1302**, 062 (2013) [arXiv:1207.3123 [hep-th]].

[11] L. Susskind, "Black Hole Complementarity and the Harlow-Hayden Conjecture," arXiv:1301.4505 [hep-th].

[12] A. Almheiri, D. Marolf, J. Polchinski, D. Stanford and J. Sully, "An Apologia for Firewalls," JHEP **1309**, 018 (2013) [arXiv:1304.6483 [hep-th]].

[13] J. Hutchinson and D. Stojkovic, "Icezones instead of firewalls: extended entanglement beyond the event horizon and unitary evaporation of a black hole," arXiv:1307.5861 [hep-th].

[14] D. Harlow, "Jerusalem Lectures on Black Holes and Quantum Information," Rev. Mod. Phys. **88**, 15002 (2016) [arXiv:1409.1231 [hep-th]].

[15] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, 1982).

[16] R. M. Wald, *Quantum field theory in curved spacetime and black hole thermodynamics* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1994).

[17] L. Parker and D. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).

[18] J. Louko, "Unruh-DeWitt detector response across a Rindler firewall is finite," JHEP **1409**, 142 (2014) [arXiv:1407.6299 [hep-th]].

[19] E. Martín-Martínez and J. Louko, "(1+1)D Calculation provides evidence that quantum entanglement survives a firewall," Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 031301 (2015) [arXiv:1502.07749 [quant-ph]].

[20] W. G. Unruh, "Firewalls — A gravitational perspective," lecture at RQIN-2014 (Seoul, Korea, July 2014).

[21] E. G. Brown, M. del Rey, H. Westman, J. León and A. Dragan, "What does it mean for half of an empty cavity to be full?," Phys. Rev. D **91**, 016005 (2015) [arXiv:1409.4203 [quant-ph]].

[22] E. G. Brown and J. Louko, "Smooth and sharp creation of a Dirichlet wall in 1+1 quantum field theory: how singular is the sharp creation limit?," JHEP **1508**, 061 (2015) [arXiv:1504.05269 [hep-th]].

[23] T. Harada, S. Kinoshita and U. Miyamoto, "Vacuum excitation by sudden appearance and disappearance of a Dirichlet wall in a cavity," Phys. Rev. D **94**, 025006 (2016) [arXiv:1601.01172 [hep-th]].

[24] W. G. Unruh, "Notes on black hole evaporation," Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976).

[25] B. S. DeWitt, "Quantum gravity: the new synthesis", in *General Relativity: an Einstein centenary survey*, edited by S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979).

[26] R. Wong, *Asymptotic Approximations of Integrals* (Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2001).

[27] A. Satz, "Then again, how often does the Unruh-DeWitt detector click if we switch it carefully?," Class. Quant. Grav. **24**, 1719 (2007) [arXiv:gr-qc/0611067].

[28] J. Louko and A. Satz, "Transition rate of the Unruh-DeWitt detector in curved spacetime," Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 055012 (2008) [arXiv:0710.5671 [gr-qc]].

[29] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness* (Academic, New York, 1975).

[30] J. Blank, P. Exner and M. Havlíček, *Hilbert Space Operators in Quantum Physics*, 2nd edition (Springer, New York, 2008).

[31] G. Bonneau, J. Faraut and G. Valent, "Selfadjoint extensions of operators and the teaching of quantum mechanics," Am. J. Phys. **69**, 322 (2001) [arXiv:quant-ph/0103153].

[32] G. Kunstatter, J. Louko and J. Ziprick, "Polymer quantization, singularity resolution and the $1/r^2$ potential," Phys. Rev. A **79**, 032104 (2009) [arXiv:0809.5098 [gr-qc]].

[33] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. `http://dlmf.nist.gov/`, Release 1.0.13 of 2016-09-16.